

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,545	09/11/2006	Ib Helmer Nielsen	PATRADE	2263	
James C. Wray	7590 07/17/200	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1493 Chain Br		LEUNG, KA CHUN A			
Suite 300 McLean, VA 2	22101	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•			3747		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/577,545	NIELSEN, IB HELMER				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ka Chun Leung	3747				

	Ka Chun Leung	3747					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
 X he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailting date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		26(a) and the appropriat	o outonaion foo				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	lianas with 27 CED 41 27 must be a	Elad within two worth	a of the date of				
2 in Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t 	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	1001100				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the						
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Stephen K. Cronin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3747	/Ka Chun Leung/ Examiner, Art Unit 3747						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 13 Other:

The proposed amendment raises new issues that would required further search and consideration. For example, the limitation of providing a "signal converter means connected to the sensor means" was not previously considered.

Additionally, line 2 of Claim 3 has been amended to read "characterized in that the sensors sensor means include...". It is unclear whether the first instance of sensors was meant to be deleted or maintained. Moreover, Items 8 and 14 from the previous Office Action do not appear to be fully addressed in the processed amendment.

Regarding item 8, the beginning of Claim 1 recites "Centralised lubrication system" as opposed to "A centralised lubrication system..." which would provide antecedent basis for subsequent recitation. It should also be noted that Claim 3 recites a "Central lubrication system" as opposed to a "Centralised lubrication system.

Regarding item 14, the proposed amendment address the first instance of "sensor means" in line 4 by inserting the term "the" in front, however the same has not been applied to presence of "sensor means" in line 6.

Communication:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ka Chun Leung whose telephone number is (571)272-9963. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Cronin can be reached on (571) 272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Jublic PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://jpair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ka Chun Leung/ Examiner, Art Unit 3747